

Twisted connections on projective modules

Kwalombota Ilwale

3rd Workshop of the Swedish Network for
Algebra and Geometry, September 24, 2020

Introduction

In the paper

[AIL20] *On q -deformed Levi-Civita connections.*
J. Arnlind, K. Ilwale, G. Landi. [arXiv:2005.02603](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.02603)

Introduction

In the paper

[AIL20] *On q -deformed Levi-Civita connections.*

J. Arnlind, K. Ilwale, G. Landi. [arXiv:2005.02603](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.02603)

we started from certain (σ, τ) -derivations on the quantum 3-sphere $\mathcal{A} = S_q^3$, i.e. a linear maps $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying a Leibniz rule

$$X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$,

Introduction

In the paper

[AIL20] *On q -deformed Levi-Civita connections.*

J. Arnlind, K. Ilwale, G. Landi. [arXiv:2005.02603](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.02603)

we started from certain (σ, τ) -derivations on the quantum 3-sphere $\mathcal{A} = S_q^3$, i.e. a linear maps $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying a Leibniz rule

$$X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, and introduced a (σ, τ) -connection ∇ , fulfilling a corresponding twisted Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_X(fm) = \sigma(f)\nabla_X(m) + X(f)\hat{\tau}(m)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and elements m in a (left) \mathcal{A} -module M , where $\hat{\tau}$ is an extension of τ to M (to be defined later).

Introduction

Moreover, we introduced corresponding concepts of metric compatibility and torsion-freeness of such a connection.

We proved that there exists a class of metric and torsion-free connections (“Levi-Civita connections”) on the (standard) module of differential forms over S_q^3 .

In this talk I will report on current work on extending these ideas to general algebras and (σ, τ) -derivations.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra and $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be algebra endomorphisms.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra and $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be algebra endomorphisms.
 - ▶ A derivation is a linear map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$X(fg) = fX(g) + X(f)g$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra and $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be algebra endomorphisms.
 - ▶ A derivation is a linear map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$X(fg) = fX(g) + X(f)g$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

- ▶ A (σ, τ) -derivation is a linear map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying a Leibniz rule

$$X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra and $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be algebra endomorphisms.
 - ▶ A derivation is a linear map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$X(fg) = fX(g) + X(f)g$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

- ▶ A (σ, τ) -derivation is a linear map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying a Leibniz rule

$$X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

- ▶ A (σ, τ) -derivation can be regarded as a twisted derivation from which we can construct a twisted connection.

- ▶ In this work, we would like to generalise the work in [AIL20] by

- ▶ In this work, we would like to generalise the work in [AIL20] by
 - ▶ introducing a linear map $X_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$X_a(fg) = \sigma_a(f)X_a(g) + X_a(f)\tau_a(g)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, and $a \in I$.

- ▶ In this work, we would like to generalise the work in [AIL20] by
 - ▶ introducing a linear map $X_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$X_a(fg) = \sigma_a(f)X_a(g) + X_a(f)\tau_a(g)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, and $a \in I$.

- ▶ constructing a connection $\nabla_{X_a} : M \rightarrow M$ satisfying a Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_{X_a}(fm) = \sigma_a(f)\nabla_{X_a}(m) + X_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)$$

for $a \in I$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m \in M$ where $\sigma_a, \tau_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are algebra endomorphisms and $\hat{\tau}_a : M \rightarrow M$ is a map such that

$$\hat{\tau}_a(fm) = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)$$

- ▶ In this work, we would like to generalise the work in [AIL20] by
 - ▶ introducing a linear map $X_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$X_a(fg) = \sigma_a(f)X_a(g) + X_a(f)\tau_a(g)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, and $a \in I$.

- ▶ constructing a connection $\nabla_{X_a} : M \rightarrow M$ satisfying a Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_{X_a}(fm) = \sigma_a(f)\nabla_{X_a}(m) + X_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)$$

for $a \in I$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m \in M$ where $\sigma_a, \tau_a, : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are algebra endomorphisms and $\hat{\tau}_a : M \rightarrow M$ is a map such that

$$\hat{\tau}_a(fm) = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)$$

- ▶ Finally, we would like to call such a connection a (σ, τ) -connection and show that it exists on projective modules.

(σ, τ) -algebra

Definition 1

Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra and let σ and τ be endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} . A \mathbb{C} -linear map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a (σ, τ) -derivation if

$$X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g)$$

for every $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

(σ, τ) -algebra

Definition 1

Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra and let σ and τ be endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} . A \mathbb{C} -linear map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a (σ, τ) -derivation if

$$X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g)$$

for every $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2

A (σ, τ) -algebra $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ is a pair where \mathcal{A} is an associative algebra (over \mathbb{C}) and X_a is a (σ_a, τ_a) -derivation of \mathcal{A} for $a \in I$.

(σ, τ) -algebra

Definition 1

Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra and let σ and τ be endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} . A \mathbb{C} -linear map $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a (σ, τ) -derivation if

$$X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g)$$

for every $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2

A (σ, τ) -algebra $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ is a pair where \mathcal{A} is an associative algebra (over \mathbb{C}) and X_a is a (σ_a, τ_a) -derivation of \mathcal{A} for $a \in I$.

Definition 3

For a (σ, τ) -algebra $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ we let

$$T\Sigma \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$$

be the vector space generated by $\{X_a\}_{a \in I}$. We call $T\Sigma$ the *tangent space of Σ* .

Σ -module

Definition 4

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) -algebra. A *left Σ -module* $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a left \mathcal{A} -module M together with \mathbb{C} -linear maps $\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a : M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\hat{\sigma}_a(fm) = \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m)$$

$$\hat{\tau}_a(fm) = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $m \in M$ and $a \in I$.

Σ -module

Definition 4

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) -algebra. A *left Σ -module* $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a left \mathcal{A} -module M together with \mathbb{C} -linear maps $\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a : M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\hat{\sigma}_a(fm) = \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m)$$

$$\hat{\tau}_a(fm) = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $m \in M$ and $a \in I$.

Definition 5

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) -algebra and let $(M_1, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ and $(M_2, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be left Σ -modules. A *(σ, τ) -module homomorphism* is an \mathcal{A} -module homomorphism $\phi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ such that

$$\phi(\hat{\sigma}_a(m)) = \tilde{\sigma}_a(\phi(m)) \quad \phi(\hat{\tau}_a(m)) = \tilde{\tau}_a(\phi(m))$$

for $m \in M_1$ and $a \in I$.

Example 6

Example 6

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) -algebra and let \mathcal{A}^n be a free (left) \mathcal{A} -module with a basis e_1, \dots, e_n .

Example 6

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) -algebra and let \mathcal{A}^n be a free (left) \mathcal{A} -module with a basis e_1, \dots, e_n .

One can introduce a canonical Σ -module structure on \mathcal{A}^n by setting

$$\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m) = \sigma_a(m^i)e_i, \quad \hat{\tau}_a^0(m) = \tau_a(m^i)e_i$$

for $m = m^i e_i \in \mathcal{A}^n$.

Example 6

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) -algebra and let \mathcal{A}^n be a free (left) \mathcal{A} -module with a basis e_1, \dots, e_n .

One can introduce a canonical Σ -module structure on \mathcal{A}^n by setting

$$\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m) = \sigma_a(m^i)e_i, \quad \hat{\tau}_a^0(m) = \tau_a(m^i)e_i$$

for $m = m^i e_i \in \mathcal{A}^n$.

One has

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_a^0(fm) &= \sigma_a(fm^i)e_i = \sigma_a(f)\sigma_a(m^i)e_i = \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m) \\ \hat{\tau}_a^0(fm) &= \tau_a(fm^i)e_i = \tau_a(f)\tau_a(m^i)e_i = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a^0(m),\end{aligned}$$

showing that $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a^0, \hat{\tau}_a^0)\}_{a \in I})$ is a (left) Σ -module.

Proposition 7

Proposition 7

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module and let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a (left) module homomorphism.

Proposition 7

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module and let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a (left) module homomorphism. Then $(T(M), \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a (left) Σ -module where $\tilde{\sigma}_a = T \circ \hat{\sigma}_a$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = T \circ \hat{\tau}_a$.

Proposition 7

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module and let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a (left) module homomorphism. Then $(T(M), \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a (left) Σ -module where $\tilde{\sigma}_a = T \circ \hat{\sigma}_a$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = T \circ \hat{\tau}_a$.

Example 8

Proposition 7

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module and let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a (left) module homomorphism. Then $(T(M), \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a (left) Σ -module where $\tilde{\sigma}_a = T \circ \hat{\sigma}_a$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = T \circ \hat{\tau}_a$.

Example 8

Let $p : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ be a projection, implying that $p\mathcal{A}^n$ is a (left) projective \mathcal{A} -module.

Proposition 7

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module and let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a (left) module homomorphism. Then $(T(M), \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a (left) Σ -module where $\tilde{\sigma}_a = T \circ \hat{\sigma}_a$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = T \circ \hat{\tau}_a$.

Example 8

Let $p : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ be a projection, implying that $p\mathcal{A}^n$ is a (left) projective \mathcal{A} -module. Defining $\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a : p\mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow p\mathcal{A}^n$ by $\tilde{\sigma}_a = p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a^0$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = p \circ \hat{\tau}_a^0$ (restricted to $p\mathcal{A}^n$), where $\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m) = \sigma_a(m^i)e_i$, $\hat{\tau}_a^0(m) = \tau_a(m^i)e_i$,

Proposition 7

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module and let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a (left) module homomorphism. Then $(T(M), \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a (left) Σ -module where $\tilde{\sigma}_a = T \circ \hat{\sigma}_a$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = T \circ \hat{\tau}_a$.

Example 8

Let $p : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ be a projection, implying that $p\mathcal{A}^n$ is a (left) projective \mathcal{A} -module. Defining $\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a : p\mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow p\mathcal{A}^n$ by $\tilde{\sigma}_a = p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a^0$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = p \circ \hat{\tau}_a^0$ (restricted to $p\mathcal{A}^n$), where $\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m) = \sigma_a(m^i)e_i$, $\hat{\tau}_a^0(m) = \tau_a(m^i)e_i$, one finds that for $m \in M$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_a(fm) = p(\hat{\sigma}_a^0(fm)) = \sigma_a(f)p(\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m)) = \sigma_a(f)\tilde{\sigma}_a(m)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_a(fm) = p(\hat{\tau}_a^0(fm)) = \tau_a(f)p(\hat{\tau}_a^0(m)) = \tau_a(f)\tilde{\tau}_a(m),$$

showing that $(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a Σ -module.

Proposition 7

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module and let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a (left) module homomorphism. Then $(T(M), \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a (left) Σ -module where $\tilde{\sigma}_a = T \circ \hat{\sigma}_a$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = T \circ \hat{\tau}_a$.

Example 8

Let $p : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ be a projection, implying that $p\mathcal{A}^n$ is a (left) projective \mathcal{A} -module. Defining $\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a : p\mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow p\mathcal{A}^n$ by $\tilde{\sigma}_a = p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a^0$ and $\tilde{\tau}_a = p \circ \hat{\tau}_a^0$ (restricted to $p\mathcal{A}^n$), where $\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m) = \sigma_a(m^i)e_i$, $\hat{\tau}_a^0(m) = \tau_a(m^i)e_i$, one finds that for $m \in M$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_a(fm) = p(\hat{\sigma}_a^0(fm)) = \sigma_a(f)p(\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m)) = \sigma_a(f)\tilde{\sigma}_a(m)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_a(fm) = p(\hat{\tau}_a^0(fm)) = \tau_a(f)p(\hat{\tau}_a^0(m)) = \tau_a(f)\tilde{\tau}_a(m),$$

showing that $(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a Σ -module. Hence, every projective \mathcal{A} -module can be endowed with the structure of a Σ -module.

Proposition 9

Proposition 9

Let $(M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module such that M is a projective \mathcal{A} -module.

Proposition 9

Let $(M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module such that M is a projective \mathcal{A} -module. Then there exists a projection $p : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ and $\{\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a\}$ such that

$$(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}) \simeq (M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$$

and furthermore, $[\hat{\sigma}_a, p] = [\hat{\tau}_a, p] = 0$.

Proof.

Proof.

Assume that M is finitely generated with generators e_1, \dots, e_n .

Proof.

Assume that M is finitely generated with generators e_1, \dots, e_n . Let $\phi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow M$ be defined by $\phi(m^i \hat{e}_i) = m^i e_i$, then ϕ is surjective.

Proof.

Assume that M is finitely generated with generators e_1, \dots, e_n . Let $\phi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow M$ be defined by $\phi(m^i \hat{e}_i) = m^i e_i$, then ϕ is surjective. Since M is a projective module, there exists a homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ such that $\phi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_M$.

Proof.

Assume that M is finitely generated with generators e_1, \dots, e_n . Let $\phi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow M$ be defined by $\phi(m^i \hat{e}_i) = m^i e_i$, then ϕ is surjective. Since M is a projective module, there exists a homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ such that $\phi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_M$. Define $p : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ by $p = \psi \circ \phi$. Then

$$p^2 = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi \circ \phi = \psi \circ \phi = p,$$

since $\phi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_M$. This shows that p is a projection and $p\mathcal{A}^n$ is a projective module.

Proof.

Assume that M is finitely generated with generators e_1, \dots, e_n . Let $\phi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow M$ be defined by $\phi(m^i \hat{e}_i) = m^i e_i$, then ϕ is surjective. Since M is a projective module, there exists a homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ such that $\phi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_M$. Define $p : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$ by $p = \psi \circ \phi$. Then

$$p^2 = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi \circ \phi = \psi \circ \phi = p,$$

since $\phi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_M$. This shows that p is a projection and $p\mathcal{A}^n$ is a projective module. Let $\hat{\phi} = \phi|_{p\mathcal{A}^n} : p\mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow M$ be the restriction of ϕ to $p\mathcal{A}^n$. One has

$$p(\psi(m)) = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi(m) = \psi(m),$$

showing that $\psi(m) \in p\mathcal{A}^n$ and $\hat{\phi}(\psi(m)) = m$.



Proof.

This shows that $\psi = \hat{\phi}^{-1}$ and therefore $\hat{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof.

This shows that $\psi = \hat{\phi}^{-1}$ and therefore $\hat{\phi}$ is an isomorphism. Set $\hat{\sigma}_a = \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\tau}_a = \psi \circ \tilde{\tau}_a \circ \hat{\phi}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_a(fm) &= \psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(fm))) = \psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(f\hat{\phi}(m))) = \psi(\sigma_a(f)\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) \\ &= \sigma_a(f)\psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) = \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m).\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

This shows that $\psi = \hat{\phi}^{-1}$ and therefore $\hat{\phi}$ is an isomorphism. Set $\hat{\sigma}_a = \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\tau}_a = \psi \circ \tilde{\tau}_a \circ \hat{\phi}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_a(fm) &= \psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(fm))) = \psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(f\hat{\phi}(m))) = \psi(\sigma_a(f)\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) \\ &= \sigma_a(f)\psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) = \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\tau}_a(fm) &= \psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(fm))) = \psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(f\hat{\phi}(m))) = \psi(\tau_a(f)\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) \\ &= \tau_a(f)\psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m).\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

This shows that $\psi = \hat{\phi}^{-1}$ and therefore $\hat{\phi}$ is an isomorphism. Set $\hat{\sigma}_a = \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\tau}_a = \psi \circ \tilde{\tau}_a \circ \hat{\phi}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_a(fm) &= \psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(fm))) = \psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(f\hat{\phi}(m))) = \psi(\sigma_a(f)\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) \\ &= \sigma_a(f)\psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) = \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\tau}_a(fm) &= \psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(fm))) = \psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(f\hat{\phi}(m))) = \psi(\tau_a(f)\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) \\ &= \tau_a(f)\psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(\rho\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a Σ -module.

Proof.

This shows that $\psi = \hat{\phi}^{-1}$ and therefore $\hat{\phi}$ is an isomorphism. Set $\hat{\sigma}_a = \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi}$ and $\hat{\tau}_a = \psi \circ \tilde{\tau}_a \circ \hat{\phi}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_a(fm) &= \psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(fm))) = \psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(f\hat{\phi}(m))) = \psi(\sigma_a(f)\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) \\ &= \sigma_a(f)\psi(\tilde{\sigma}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) = \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\tau}_a(fm) &= \psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(fm))) = \psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(f\hat{\phi}(m))) = \psi(\tau_a(f)\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) \\ &= \tau_a(f)\psi(\tilde{\tau}_a(\hat{\phi}(m))) = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(\rho\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a Σ -module. In fact one has

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\sigma}_a &= \hat{\phi} \circ \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi} = \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi} \\ \hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\tau}_a &= \hat{\phi} \circ \psi \circ \tilde{\tau}_a \circ \hat{\phi} = \tilde{\tau}_a \circ \hat{\phi},\end{aligned}$$

showing that $\hat{\phi}$ is a (σ, τ) -isomorphism. □

Proof.

Let $\psi(m) \in p\mathcal{A}^n$. Using $\hat{\phi} \circ \psi = \text{id}$, one computes

$$\hat{\sigma}_a \circ p = \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi} \circ \psi \circ \hat{\phi} = \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi}.$$

and

$$p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a = \psi \circ \hat{\phi} \circ \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \hat{\phi} = \psi \circ \tilde{\sigma}_a \circ \psi$$

giving $[\hat{\sigma}_a, p] = 0$. In the similar way one can show that $[\hat{\tau}_a, p] = 0$. □

(σ, τ) -connection

Definition 10

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) -algebra and let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a left Σ -module. A left (σ, τ) -connection on M is a map $\nabla : T\Sigma \times M \rightarrow M$ satisfying

$$\nabla_X(m + m') = \nabla_X m + \nabla_X m'$$

$$\nabla_X(\lambda m) = \lambda \nabla_X m$$

$$\nabla_{X+Y} m = \nabla_X m + \nabla_Y m$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda X} m = \lambda \nabla_X m$$

$$\nabla_{X_a}(fm) = \sigma_a(f) \nabla_{X_a} m + X_a(f) \hat{\tau}_a(m)$$

for all $X, Y \in T\Sigma$, $m, m' \in M$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in I$.

Example 11

Example 11

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) - algebra and $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a free left Σ -module.

Example 11

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) - algebra and $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a free left Σ -module. Introduce a map $\nabla : T\Sigma \times \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$. Choose $\nabla_X e_i$ arbitrarily and define

$$\nabla_X(m^i e_i) = \sigma_a(m^i) \nabla_X e_i + X(m^i) \hat{\tau}_a(e_i).$$

Example 11

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) - algebra and $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a free left Σ -module. Introduce a map $\nabla : T\Sigma \times \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$.

Choose $\nabla_X e_i$ arbitrarily and define

$$\nabla_X(m^i e_i) = \sigma_a(m^i) \nabla_X e_i + X(m^i) \hat{\tau}_a(e_i).$$

For every $X, Y \in T\Sigma$, one can easily see that

$$\nabla_X(m + m') = \nabla_X(m) + \nabla_X(m'),$$

$$\nabla_{X+Y}(m) = \nabla_X(m) + \nabla_Y(m).$$

Example 11

Let $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ be a (σ, τ) -algebra and $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a free left Σ -module. Introduce a map $\nabla : T\Sigma \times \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$. Choose $\nabla_X e_i$ arbitrarily and define

$$\nabla_X(m^i e_i) = \sigma_a(m^i) \nabla_X e_i + X(m^i) \hat{\tau}_a(e_i).$$

For every $X, Y \in T\Sigma$, one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X(m + m') &= \nabla_X(m) + \nabla_X(m'), \\ \nabla_{X+Y}(m) &= \nabla_X(m) + \nabla_Y(m).\end{aligned}$$

For derivations $X_a \in T\Sigma$, one finds that

$$\nabla_{X_a}(fm) = \sigma_a(f) \nabla_{X_a}(m) + X_a(f) \hat{\tau}_a(m)$$

for $m, m' \in \mathcal{A}^n$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 12

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a left Σ -module and let ∇ be a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$. If $p : M \rightarrow M$ is a projection then $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$ is a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(p(M), \{(p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a, p \circ \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$.

Proposition 12

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a left Σ -module and let ∇ be a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$. If $p : M \rightarrow M$ is a projection then $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$ is a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(p(M), \{(p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a, p \circ \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$.

Proof.

Proposition 12

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a left Σ -module and let ∇ be a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$. If $p : M \rightarrow M$ is a projection then $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$ is a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(p(M), \{(p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a, p \circ \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$.

Proof.

By the first Proposition (7), $(p(M), \{(p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a, p \circ \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a Σ -module.

Proposition 12

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a left Σ -module and let ∇ be a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$. If $p : M \rightarrow M$ is a projection then $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$ is a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(p(M), \{(p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a, p \circ \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$.

Proof.

By the first Proposition (7), $(p(M), \{(p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a, p \circ \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a Σ -module. Let $f \in A, m, m' \in M, X_a, X, Y \in T\Sigma$ and define $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$.

Proposition 12

Let $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a left Σ -module and let ∇ be a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$. If $p : M \rightarrow M$ is a projection then $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$ is a left (σ, τ) -connection on $(p(M), \{(p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a, p \circ \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$.

Proof.

By the first Proposition (7), $(p(M), \{(p \circ \hat{\sigma}_a, p \circ \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ is a Σ -module. Let $f \in A, m, m' \in M, X_a, X, Y \in T\Sigma$ and define $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}(fm) &= p(\nabla_{X_a}(fm)) \\ &= p(\sigma_a(f)\nabla_{X_a}m + p(X_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m))) \\ &= \sigma_a(f)p(\nabla_{X_a}m) + X_a(f)p(\hat{\tau}_a(m)) \\ &= \sigma_a(f)\tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}m + X_a(f)p \circ \hat{\tau}_a(m).\end{aligned}$$



To show linearity of the connection on the projective module we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}(\lambda m + m') &= p(\lambda \nabla_{X_a}(m)) + p(\nabla_{X_a}(m')) \\ &= \lambda \tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}(m) + \tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}(m')\end{aligned}$$

To show linearity of the connection on the projective module we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}(\lambda m + m') &= \rho(\lambda \nabla_{X_a}(m)) + \rho(\nabla_{X_a}(m')) \\ &= \lambda \tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}(m) + \tilde{\nabla}_{X_a}(m')\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{\lambda X + Y}(m) &= \lambda \rho(\nabla_X(m)) + \rho(\nabla_Y(m)) \\ &= \lambda \tilde{\nabla}_X m + \tilde{\nabla}_Y m.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 13

Theorem 13

Every projective Σ module has a (σ, τ) -connection.

Theorem 13

Every projective Σ module has a (σ, τ) -connection.

Proof.

Theorem 13

Every projective Σ module has a (σ, τ) -connection.

Proof.

Let $(M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau})\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module with M be a projective module.

Theorem 13

Every projective Σ module has a (σ, τ) -connection.

Proof.

Let $(M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau})\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module with M be a projective module. By proposition (9), there exist a projection $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}) \simeq (M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}).$$

Theorem 13

Every projective Σ module has a (σ, τ) -connection.

Proof.

Let $(M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module with M be a projective module. By proposition (9), there exist a projection $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}) \simeq (M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}).$$

Let ∇ be a (σ, τ) -connection on $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ and define $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$.

Theorem 13

Every projective Σ module has a (σ, τ) -connection.

Proof.

Let $(M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module with M be a projective module. By proposition (9), there exist a projection $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}) \simeq (M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}).$$

Let ∇ be a (σ, τ) -connection on $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ and define $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$. By proposition (12), $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a (σ, τ) -connection on $(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$.

Theorem 13

Every projective Σ module has a (σ, τ) -connection.

Proof.

Let $(M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau})\}_{a \in I})$ be a Σ -module with M be a projective module. By proposition (9), there exist a projection $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}) \simeq (M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I}).$$

Let ∇ be a (σ, τ) -connection on $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ and define $\tilde{\nabla} = p \circ \nabla$. By proposition (12), $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a (σ, τ) -connection on $(p\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$. By the isomorphism, it is clear that the projective Σ -module $(M, \{(\tilde{\sigma}_a, \tilde{\tau})\}_{a \in I})$ has a (σ, τ) -connection. \square

outlook

We wouldlike to explore

- ▶ on (σ, τ) -bimodule connection on Σ -bimodule,

outlook

We wouldlike to explore

- ▶ on (σ, τ) -bimodule connection on Σ -bimodule,
- ▶ on (σ, τ) -metric connection on Σ -bimodule.

outlook

We would like to explore

- ▶ on (σ, τ) -bimodule connection on Σ -bimodule,
- ▶ on (σ, τ) -metric connection on Σ -bimodule.
- ▶ the general case of torsion and curvature since we have shown in [AIL20] that a Levi-Civita connection exists on S_q^3 .

Thank you very much for your attention.