

KÄHLER-POISSON ALGEBRAS

Ahmed Al-Shujary

Linköping University

2018-9-27

- The following references [J. Arnlind and G. Huisken,2014] and [J. Arnlind, J Hoppe, and G. Huisken,2012] show that one may reformulate the Riemannian geometry of an embedded Kähler manifold M entirely in terms of the Poisson structure on the algebra smooth functions of M .
- We introduce Kähler-Poisson algebras as analogues of algebras of smooth functions on Kähler manifold.
- We prove that they share several properties with their classical counterparts on an algebraic level.
- We will see that the module of inner derivations of a Kähler-Poisson algebra is a finitely generated projective module, and allows for a unique metric and torsion-free connection whose curvature enjoys all the classical symmetries.

- Starting from a large class of Poisson algebras, we show that the algebras have an associated Kähler-Poisson algebras constructed as a localizations.
- We provide several examples in order to illustrate the novel concepts.

Section (1) KÄHLER-POISSON ALGEBRAS

We shall introduce a type of Poisson algebras, that resembles the smooth functions on an (isometrically) embedded almost Kähler manifold, in such a way that an analogue of Riemannian geometry may be developed. Our aim is to introduce Kähler-Poisson algebras below, and we will show that they are, in a natural way, metric Lie-Rinehart algebras, which implies that the results of Lie-Rinehart algebras can be applied, in particular, there exists a unique torsion-free metric connection on every Kähler-Poisson algebra.

Definition (1.1)

Let \mathcal{A} be a poisson algebra over \mathbb{K} and let $\{x^1, \dots, x^m\} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Given $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, such that $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. We say that the triple $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{A}, g, \{x^1, \dots, x^m\})$ is a **Kähler–Poisson algebra** if there exists $\eta \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m \eta\{a, x^i\} g_{ij}\{x^j, x^k\} g_{kl}\{x^l, b\} = -\{a, b\} \quad (1)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Remark. From now on, we shall use the differential geometric convention that repeated indices are summed over from 1 to m , and omit explicit summation symbols.

Given a Kähler–Poisson algebra \mathcal{K} , we let \mathfrak{g} denote the \mathcal{A} -module generated by all inner derivations, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{a_1\{c^1, \cdot\} + \dots + a_N\{c^N, \cdot\} : a_i, c^i \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } N \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is a standard fact that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} with respect to

$$[\alpha, \beta](a) = \alpha(\beta(a)) - \beta(\alpha(a)).$$

The matrix $g = (g_{ij})$ induces a bilinear symmetric form on \mathfrak{g} , defined by

$$g(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha(x^i)g_{ij}\beta(x^j), \quad (2)$$

and we refer to g as the metric on \mathfrak{g} . To the metric g one may associate a map $\hat{g} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ defined as

$$\hat{g}(\alpha)(\beta) = g(\alpha, \beta).$$

Proposition (1.2)

If $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{A}, \{x^1, \dots, x^m\}, g)$ is a Kähler-Poisson algebra then the metric g is non-degenerate; i.e. the map $\hat{g} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^$ is a module isomorphism.*

Remark

It is easy to check that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{g})$ is indeed a Lie-Rinehart algebra and, furthermore, Proposition (1.2) implies that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{g}, g)$ is a metric Lie-Rinehart algebra.

Let us now introduce some notation for Kähler-Poisson algebras. Thus, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^{ij} &= \eta \mathcal{P}_k^i \mathcal{P}^{jk} = \eta \{x^i, x^l\} g_{lk} \{x^j, x^k\} \\ \mathcal{D}^i(a) &= \eta \mathcal{P}^k(a) \mathcal{P}_k^i = \eta \{x^k, a\} g_{kl} \{x^l, x^i\}\end{aligned}$$

and note that $\mathcal{D}^{ij} = \mathcal{D}^{ji}$. The metric g will be used to lower indices in analogy with differential geometry. E.g.

$$\mathcal{D}^i{}_j = \mathcal{D}^{ik} g_{kj}, \mathcal{D}_i = g_{ij} \mathcal{D}^j.$$

Moreover, $g = (g_{ij})$ defines a bilinear form on the free module \mathcal{A}^m via

$$g(X, Y) = X^i g_{ij} Y^j, \text{ for } X = X^i e_i \in \mathcal{A}^m \text{ and } Y = Y^i e_i \in \mathcal{A}^m.$$

We introduce the map $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^m$ by setting

$$\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}^i{}_j X^j e_i.$$

Proposition (1.3)

The map $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^m$ is an orthogonal projection, i.e.

$$\mathcal{D}^2(X) = \mathcal{D}(X) \text{ and } g(\mathcal{D}(X), Y) = g(X, \mathcal{D}(Y))$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}^m$. Moreover, $\text{Im}(\mathcal{D})$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} , which shows that \mathfrak{g} is projective module.

Remark

Note the fact that \mathfrak{g} is a projective module is clearly not dependent on whether or not the underlying Poisson algebra has the structure of a Kähler-Poisson algebra, as the definition of \mathfrak{g} involves only inner derivations. Hence, as soon as the Poisson algebra admits the structure of a Kähler-Poisson algebra, it follows that the module of inner derivations is projective. Note that for an arbitrary Lie-Rinehart algebra the module \mathfrak{g} need not to be projective.

Construction of a Kähler-Poisson algebras (1.4)

- Given a Poisson algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$.
- One may ask if there exist $\{x^1, \dots, x^m\}$ and g_{ij} such that $(\mathcal{A}, g, \{x^1, \dots, x^m\})$ is a Kähler-Poisson algebras.
- Let us consider the case when \mathcal{A} is a finitely generated algebra, and let $\{x^1, \dots, x^m\}$ be an arbitrary set of generators.
- If we denote by \mathcal{P} the matrix with entries $\{x^i, x^j\}$ and by g the matrix with entries g_{ij} , the Kähler-Poisson condition (1) may be written in matrix notation as

$$\eta \mathcal{P} g \mathcal{P} g \mathcal{P} = -\mathcal{P}.$$

- Given an arbitrary antisymmetric matrix \mathcal{P} , we shall find g by first writing \mathcal{P} in a block diagonal form, with antisymmetric 2×2 matrices on the diagonal.

Proposition (1.5)

Let $\mathcal{P} \in M_N(R)$ be an antisymmetric matrix, and let \hat{N} denote the integer part of $N / 2$. Then there exist $V \in M_N(R)$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\hat{N}} \in R$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} V^T \mathcal{P} V &= \text{diag}(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{\hat{N}}) \text{ if } N \text{ is even} \\ V^T \mathcal{P} V &= \text{diag}(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{\hat{N}}, 0) \text{ if } N \text{ is odd,} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_k \\ -\lambda_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Returning to the case of a Poisson algebra generated by x^1, \dots, x^m , assume for the moment that $m = 2N$ for a positive integer N . By Proposition (1.5), there exists a matrix V

$$V^T \mathcal{P} V = \mathcal{P}_0$$

where \mathcal{P}_0 is a block diagonal matrix of the form

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \text{diag}(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N)$$

with

$$\Lambda_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_k \\ -\lambda_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the same way, defining $g_0 = \text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_N)$ with

$$g_k = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\lambda = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_N$$

we set $g = V g_0 V^T$. As long as $\det(V)$ is not a zero divisor, this implies that

$$\mathcal{P} g \mathcal{P} g \mathcal{P} = -\lambda^2 \mathcal{P}.$$

We want to obtain the Kähler Poisson condition

$$\eta \mathcal{P} g \mathcal{P} g \mathcal{P} = -\mathcal{P}.$$

Thus, given a finitely generated Poisson algebra \mathcal{A} , the above procedure gives a rather general way to associate a localization $\mathcal{A}[\lambda^{-1}]$ and a metric g to \mathcal{A} , such that $(\mathcal{A}[\lambda^{-1}], \{x^1, \dots, x^m\}, g)$ is a Kähler Poisson algebra. That is, If λ is invertible, then one obtains a Kähler Poisson algebra with $\eta = \lambda^{-2}$. If λ is not invertible, then one may add the inverse to the algebra to obtain a localization $(\mathcal{A}[\lambda^{-1}])$.

Section (2) The Levi-Civita connection

Since every Kähler Poisson algebra is also a metric Lie-Rinehart algebra, the results of Lie-Rinehart algebras immediately applies. In particular, there exists a unique torsion-free and metric connection on the module \mathfrak{g} .

Definition (2.1)

Let $(\mathcal{A}, g, \{x^1, \dots, x^m\})$ be a Kähler Poisson algebra and let ∇ be a connection on \mathfrak{g} . The connection is called a metric if

$$\alpha(g(\beta, \gamma)) = g(\nabla_\alpha \beta, \gamma) + g(\beta, \nabla_\alpha \gamma)$$

and torsion-free if

$$\nabla_\alpha \beta - \nabla_\beta \alpha - [\alpha, \beta] = 0$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition (2.2)

If ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection of a Kähler-Poisson algebra \mathcal{K} then

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{D}^i} \mathcal{D}^j = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^i (\mathcal{D}^{jk}) \mathcal{D}_k - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^j (\mathcal{D}^{ik}) \mathcal{D}_k + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^k (\mathcal{D}^{ij}) \mathcal{D}_k \quad (3)$$

or, equivalently, $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}^i} \mathcal{D}^j = \Gamma_{ik}^{ij} \mathcal{D}^k$ where

$$\Gamma_{ik}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^i (\mathcal{D}^{jl}) \mathcal{D}_{lk} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^j (\mathcal{D}^{il}) \mathcal{D}_{lk} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_k (\mathcal{D}^{ij}). \quad (4)$$

Example (3.1)

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Poisson algebra generated by two elements $x^1 = x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x^2 = y \in \mathcal{A}$, and set

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \{x, y\} \\ -\{x, y\} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that for an arbitrary symmetric matrix g

$$\mathcal{P}g\mathcal{P}g\mathcal{P} = -\{x, y\}^2 \det(g)\mathcal{P}.$$

Thus, as long as $\{x, y\}^2 \det(g)$ is not zero-divisor, one may localize to obtain a Kähler-Poisson algebra

$$\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{A}[(\{x, y\}^2 \det(g))^{-1}], \{x, y\}, g).$$

Example (3.2)

Let \mathcal{A} be a Poisson algebra generated by three elements $x^1 = x, x^2 = y, x^3 = z \in \mathcal{A}$. Writing $\{x, y\} = a, \{y, z\} = b$ and $\{z, x\} = c$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -c \\ -a & 0 & b \\ c & -b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that for an arbitrary symmetric matrix g

$$\mathcal{P}g\mathcal{P}g\mathcal{P} = -\tau\mathcal{P},$$

where

$$\tau = a^2|g|_{33} + b^2|g|_{11} + c^2|g|_{22} + 2ab|g|_{31} - 2ac|g|_{32} - 2bc|g|_{21},$$

and $|g|_{ij}$ denotes the determinant of the matrix obtained from g by deleting the i 'th row and the j 'th column. Thus one may construct the Kähler-Poisson algebra $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{A}[\tau^{-1}], \{x, y, z\}, g)$.

In particular, if g equals the identity matrix, then $\tau = a^2 + b^2 + c^2$.
Let us explicitly work out an example based on an algebra \mathcal{A}_0 , generated by two elements. Let us start by choosing an element $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_0$ for which the localization $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0[\{x, y\}^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}]$ exists, and then defining the metric as

$$g = \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We know that $(\mathcal{A}, \{x, y\}, g)$ is a Kähler-Poisson algebra with $\eta = \frac{\lambda^2}{p^2}$. We introduce $\gamma = \frac{p}{\lambda}$ such that $\eta = \frac{1}{\gamma^2}$ with $p = \{x, y\}$.

Let us start by computing the derivations $\mathcal{D}^x = \mathcal{D}^1$ and $\mathcal{D}^y = \mathcal{D}^2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^x &= -\frac{1}{\gamma}\{y, \cdot\} \\ \mathcal{D}^y &= \frac{1}{\gamma}\{x, \cdot\}\end{aligned}$$

From Proposition (3.1) one can compute the connection:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mathcal{D}^x}\mathcal{D}^x &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}^i(\lambda)\mathcal{D}_i \\ \nabla_{\mathcal{D}^y}\mathcal{D}^y &= \nabla_{\mathcal{D}^x}\mathcal{D}^x \\ \nabla_{\mathcal{D}^x}\mathcal{D}^y &= \mathcal{D}^\lambda \\ \nabla_{\mathcal{D}^y}\mathcal{D}^x &= -\mathcal{D}^\lambda\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the curvature can be computed

$$\begin{aligned}R(\mathcal{D}^x, \mathcal{D}^y)\mathcal{D}^x &= [\mathcal{D}_x(\lambda)^2 + \mathcal{D}^y(\lambda)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_x(\mathcal{D}^x(\lambda)) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_y(\mathcal{D}^y(\lambda))]\mathcal{D}^y \\ R(\mathcal{D}^x, \mathcal{D}^y)\mathcal{D}^y &= [\mathcal{D}_x(\lambda)^2 + \mathcal{D}^y(\lambda)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_x(\mathcal{D}^x(\lambda)) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_y(\mathcal{D}^y(\lambda))]\mathcal{D}^x\end{aligned}$$

Section (4) Summary

- We have introduced the concept of Kähler-Poisson algebras as a mean to study Poisson algebras from a metric of view.
- The relation (1) has consequences that allow for an identification of geometric objects in the algebra, which share properties with their classical counterparts.
- The idea behind the construction was to identify a distinguished set of elements in the algebra that serve as "embedding coordinates", and then use the compatibility as the defining relation for a Kähler-Poisson algebra.

Thank you for your attention